## EXHIBIT B

## THE SULTZER LAW GROUP, P.C.

Joseph Lipari (JL3194) Jason Sultzer (JS4546) 85 Civic Center Plaza, Suite 104 Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

Tel: (845) 483-7100

Email: liparij@thesultzerlawgroup.com sultzerj@thesultzerlawgroup.com

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

EDWARD J. REYNOLDS, D.D.S., Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Case No. 14-CV-3575

Plaintiff,

٧.

LIFEWATCH, INC., LIFEWATCH CORP., : LIFEWATCH TECHNOLOGIES CORP., : LIFEWATCH SERVICES, INC., LIFEWATCH, : INC. d/b/a LIFEWATCH USA, EVAN SIRLIN, : MITCHELL MAY, ABC CORPORATIONS 1-10, : AND JOHN DOES 1-10, :

Defendants.

AFFIDAVIT OF EVAN SIRLIN

## I, Evan Sirlin, declare as follows:

- 1. I am over eighteen years of age and I am competent to make this affidavit.
- 2. The matters set forth in this affidavit are based on my personal knowledge.
- 3. I am President and CEO of Lifewatch, Inc. ("Lifewatch"), a duly registered New York corporation that provides medical alert products and monitoring services to seriously ill, elderly, and disabled individuals.
- 4. I have reviewed the allegations contained in the Complaint filed in *Reynolds v. Lifewatch, Inc., et al.* I deny the allegations against me in the Complaint, including those contained in Paragraphs 13, 28, 29, 32, 33, 34, 35, 45, 46, 48, 53, 65, 67, and 90.
- 5. Lifewatch does not initiate telemarketing calls, employ telemarketers, or otherwise engage in telemarketing.

- 6. I did not personally make the telephone call(s) alleged in the Complaint nor did I direct anyone to make the telephone call(s) alleged in the Complaint.
- 7. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants called Plaintiff and other consumers and told them that a family member, friend, or other person purchased Defendants' medical alert device for them and/or a doctor recommended it for them.
- 8. The Federal Trade Commission has brought a suit captioned Federal Trade Commission v. Worldwide Info Services, et al., 6:14-cv-8-ORL-28DAB (District Court for the Middle District of Florida). This FTC suit—to which Lifewatch has never been a party—involves allegations that third party entities attempted to sell Lifewatch products by making purportedly false statements to prospective consumers (i.e. that a Lifewatch product or service had already been purchased by a friend, family member, or other acquaintance). These allegations are identical to the underlying action.
- 9. Given the nature of the allegations by the FTC and Lifewatch's commitment to its customers, Lifewatch initiated a recall/refund campaign and advised its customers that they are entitled to a full refund if they were contacted by one of the outside companies and then purchased Lifewatch's products or services based on these allegedly false statements. In essence, Lifewatch has undertaken a process to allow consumers full redress for any false statements made in connection with outside companies selling Lifewatch's products.
- 10. Plaintiff and any potential class members were and still are entitled to full redress from Lifewatch's recall/refund campaign.
- 11. I understand that, notwithstanding my rigid adherence to all corporate formalities, I have been sued in my individual capacity.
- 12. I do not exercise complete domination over Lifewatch, Inc., which has over 90 employees. Rather, I report to the board of directors, formulate the corporation's overall business plan, and create and spearhead opportunities for expansion and business development.
- 13. I did not use Lifewatch or my authority within Lifewatch to commit a wrong or fraud.
- 14. Lifewatch is not undercapitalized and I do not co-mingle my funds with Lifewatch's funds.
- 15. I do not divert funds without the proper authorization and/or adhering rigidly to corporate formalities.
- 16. I do not treat Lifewatch's assets as if they were my own, and, therefore, my assets and Lifewatch's assets are separate and distinct.

Dated: October /5, 2014

Evan Sirlin